$\begin{array}{c} Tests \\ (2012-2013 \ Academic \ Year: \ Tutorial \ Questions) \end{array}$ 

## Exercises

- 7.1 Given the data  $\Omega(x) = \{0.00, 0.1, 0.15, 0.20, 0.21\}$ , use Bayes theorem to compare the hypothesis sis that the data are uniformly distributed against the hypothesis that the data are distributed with a mean of 0.15 and a Gaussian spread of 0.9. Which hypothesis agrees with the data best.
- 7.2 A test for an infection returns a positive result 98% of the time for someone with an infection. The same test reports a positive result 0.05% of the time for patients who are not infected. If 0.01% of the population are infected what is the probability that someone with a positive test result is actually infected? Is this a good test?
- 7.3 A test for an infection returns a positive result 99.99% of the time for someone with an infection. The same test reports a positive result 0.01% of the time for patients who are not infected. If 0.1% of the population are infected what is the probability that someone with a positive test result is actually infected? Is this a good test?
- 7.4 A test for an infection returns a positive result 99.99% of the time for someone with an infection. The same test reports a positive result one in a million times for patients who are not infected. If 10% of the population are infected what is the probability that someone with a positive test result is actually infected? Is this a good test?
- 7.5 The following results are all measurements of the height of the same person:  $1.83 \pm 0.01$ m,  $1.85 \pm 0.01$ m,  $1.87 \pm 0.01$ m. Are they compatible with each other?
- 7.6 The data given by  $\Omega = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 6\}$  is for a Poisson process. Which value of  $\lambda$ , either 3 or 4 is a better description of the data?
- 7.7 The data given by  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 7\}$  is for a Poisson process. Which value of  $\lambda$ , either 3 or 4 is a better description of the data?
- 7.8 Which hypothesis agrees with the data  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  better, a Binomial distribution with p = 0.4, or a Gaussian distribution with a mean of 3 and width of 1?
- 7.9 What is the *p*-value obtained for a rare decay experiment where one expects one event and observes five? Does this result contradict expectations?
- 7.10 What is the *p*-value obtained for a rare decay experiment where one expects one signal event, with two background and observes five? Does this result contradict expectations?
- 7.11 When searching for an effect expected to be small, at the level of five events in your data, you observe 15 events. What is the p-value for this outcome? Does this result contradict expectations?

7

- $\mathbf{2}$
- 7.12 What is the *p*-value obtained for a Gaussian observable to be non-zero given the measurement  $x = 0.5 \pm 0.1$ ?
- 7.13 Measurements of the W boson mass from the MARK-2 and OPAL experiments are  $91.14\pm0.12$ and  $91.1852\pm0.0021$  GeV/c<sup>2</sup>, respectively. At what level do they agree with each other?
- 7.14 Measurements of the mass of the new particle discovered in summer 2012 by the ATLAS and CMS experiments at CERN are  $126 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4$  and  $125.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5$  GeV/c<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The first uncertainty quoted is statistical and the second is systematic in nature. At what level do these mass measurements agree with each other?
- 7.15 Can both of the following results be correct (explain your reasoning)  $x < 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  at 90% CL, and  $x = (1.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$ , where  $x \ge 0$ ?